Laurie Traywick

From:

Sara Parrish

Sent:

Wednesday, November 09, 2011 11:29 AM

To:

Laurie Traywick

Subject:

FW: SLED Report

From: JOHN MCLEOD [mailto:glenelg@truvista.net] Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 7:33 AM

To: Sara Parrish Cc: Anthony Goldman Subject: SLED Report

Sara:

Based on the letter from SLED attached to your e-mail, I request permission to amend question #7 of my PDQ to show that I was a defendant in the Federal District Court case of William C. McKinney vs John D. McLeod, et al. Case # 6:08-CV-03169.

That being said, it should also be said that your email was the first notice I have had about this case as I was not served with any summons or any other paper giving me notice that I was a party to a lawsuit.

I ask that Anthony, my law clerk, verify this.

Incidentally, I think the name of the plaintiff is actually spelled "McKinnedy" as I remember more than one appeal by himto the ALC from prison grievances.

Please let me know if this email will suffice as I have no printer with me. If not, I could write the request longhand---or do it when I return on Tuesday.

Please respond so that I will know that you received this.

Many thanks, John McLeod



Laurie Traywick

From:

Sara Parrish

Sent:

Wednesday, November 09, 2011 11:29 AM

To:

Laurie Traywick

Subject:

FW: JMSC - SLED Report

I'm not sure if you need this as a part of the amendment?

----Original Message----

From: Anthony Goldman [mailto:agoldman@scalc.net]

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 12:30 PM

To: Sara Parrish

Subject: FW: JMSC - SLED Report

Sara,

Judge McLeod asked that I forward the following email to you, which is from our Clerk of Court Jana Shealy.

In the order that turned up via SLED's investigation, Judge McLeod and our former, Chief Judge Marvin F. Kittrell, were dismissed as parties because of their judicial immunity.

Take care, Anthony

----Original Message-----

From: Jana E. Shealy

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:31 AM

To: Anthony Goldman

Subject: RE: JMSC - SLED Report

Found the 1983 action that he filed in Federal Court. It looks like it was filed in 2008 and he and Buddy were named as defendants but both were summarily dismissed as parties because of their judicial immunity.

I don't know that we ever received notice of the suit, I'll do some further looking.

I'll bring you a copy of the decisions I have found.

Jana E. Cox Shealy, Clerk S.C. Administrative Law Court 1205 Pendleton St., Suite 224 Columbia, SC 29201 803.734.0550

----Original Message----

From: Anthony Goldman

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2011 9:12 AM

To: Jana E. Shealy

Subject: FW: JMSC - SLED Report

Jana,

Judge McLeod's name turned up in a SLED report because Inmate William McKinnedy filed a lawsuit in federal court in which Judge McLeod was named a Defendant. The case as dismissed.

Did the ALC ever receive an notice of the lawsuit? I am not sure where to begin, because this inmate has so many appeals.

Take care, Anthony

----Original Message----

From: Sara Parrish [mailto:SaraParrish@scsenate.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2011 4:37 PM

To: Anthony Goldman

Subject: JMSC - SLED Report

Hi, Anthony-

Thank you for passing this along to Judge McLeod. The SLED report with the case name is attached. We need a letter from Judge McLeod that states that he would like to amend his answer to question 34 on his Personal Data Questionnaire to reflect this case. If possible, we need the letter in the next seven days.

Since it came up on his SLED report, we have to ask him about it at the public hearing. All he has to do is tell us what he knows about it.

Thanks! Please give me a call if you have any questions.

Sara

Sara S. Parrish
Staff Attorney
S.C. Senate Judiciary Committee
(803) 212-6308

This email and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the intended recipient(s). If you are not the named recipient you should not read, distribute, copy or alter this email. Any views or opinions expressed in this email are those of the author and do not represent those of the company. Warning: Although precautions have been taken to make sure no viruses are present in this email, the company cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage that arise from the use of this email or attachments.